イロア 人口 ア イロア イロア イロア うくろ

Precept 11: Unmeasured Confounding Soc 400: Applied Social Statistics

Ziyao Tian

Princeton University

December 6, 2018

Acknowledgments: Materials are drawn from Shay O'Brien, Simone Zhang, Ryan Parsons, Xinyi Duan, Ian Lundberg, and Jeremy Cohen. Material also comes from Matt Blackwell, Adam Glynn, Jens Hainmueller and Brandon Stewart' lectures. Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Today's Agenda

- Expectation, Variance, Covariance
- Instrumental Variable
 - why IV
 - assumptions
 - estimation with RStudio

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

▲ロト ▲昼 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ■ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Expectation

Assumptions

Estimation

▲□▶ ▲@▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ = 臣 = のへぐ

Expectation

The expected value of a random variable X is denoted by E[X] and is a measure of **central tendency** of X. Roughly speaking, an expected value is like a weighted average of all of the values weighted by probability of occurrence.

The expected value of a discrete random variable X is defined as

$$E[X] = \sum_{\text{all } x} x \cdot f_X(x).$$

Assumptions

Estimation

Expectation

The expected value of a random variable X is denoted by E[X] and is a measure of **central tendency** of X. Roughly speaking, an expected value is like a weighted average of all of the values weighted by probability of occurrence.

The expected value of a discrete random variable X is defined as

$$E[X] = \sum_{\text{all } x} x \cdot f_X(x).$$

The expected value of a continuous random variable X is defined as

$$E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot f_X(x) dx.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Estimation

Birth Example

Properties of Expected Value

The three properties:

1) Additivity: expectation of sums are sums of expectations

E[X+Y] = E[X] + E[Y]

◆□ ▶ ◆昼 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ■ ● � � � �

Properties of Expected Value

The three properties:

1) Additivity: expectation of sums are sums of expectations

$$E[X+Y] = E[X] + E[Y]$$

2) Homogeneity: expected value of a constant is the constant

$$E[aX+b] = aE[X]+b$$

Properties of Expected Value

The three properties:

1) Additivity: expectation of sums are sums of expectations

$$E[X+Y] = E[X] + E[Y]$$

2) Homogeneity: expected value of a constant is the constant

$$E[aX+b] = aE[X]+b$$

3) LOTUS: Law of the Unconscious Statistician

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_{x} g(x) f_X(x)$$

Properties of Expected Value

The three properties:

1) Additivity: expectation of sums are sums of expectations

$$E[X+Y] = E[X] + E[Y]$$

2) Homogeneity: expected value of a constant is the constant

$$E[aX+b] = aE[X] + b$$

3) LOTUS: Law of the Unconscious Statistician

$$E[g(X)] = \sum_{x} g(x) f_X(x)$$

However,

- $E[g(X)] \neq g(E[X])$ unless $g(\cdot)$ is a linear function
- $E[XY] \neq E[X]E[Y]$ unless X and Y are independent

E-Var-Cov

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Variance

The variance of a random variable X (a measure of its dispersion) is the expectation of the squared distances from the mean $V[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2]$. For a discrete random variable X

$$V[X] = \sum_{\text{all } x} (x - E[X])^2 f_X(x)$$

E-Var-Cov

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Variance

The variance of a random variable X (a measure of its dispersion) is the expectation of the squared distances from the mean $V[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2]$. For a discrete random variable X

$$V[X] = \sum_{\text{all } x} (x - E[X])^2 f_X(x)$$

For a continuous random variable X

$$V[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - E[X])^2 f_X(x) dx$$

<ロト < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < < つ < O < O </p>

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Variance

Another common form

$$V[X] = E[(X - E[X])^{2}]$$

= $E[X^{2} - 2E[X]X + E[X]^{2}]$
= $E[X^{2}] - 2E[X]^{2} + E[X]^{2}$
= $E[X^{2}] - E[X]^{2}$

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Properties of Variance

Property 1 of Variance: Behavior with Constants. Suppose a and b are constants and X is a random variable. Then

V[b] = 0 $V[aX] = a^2 V[X]$ $V[aX + b] = a^2 V[X] + 0$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Estimation

イロア 人口 ア イロア イロア イロア うくろ

Properties of Variance

Property 1 of Variance: Behavior with Constants. Suppose a and b are constants and X is a random variable. Then

V[b] = 0 $V[aX] = a^2 V[X]$ $V[aX + b] = a^2 V[X] + 0$

Property 2 of Variance: Additivity for Independent Random Variables. Suppose we have k independent random variables X_1, \ldots, X_k . If $V[X_i]$ exists for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$, then

$$V\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i\right] = V[X_1] + \dots + V[X_k]$$

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

イロア 人口 ア イロア イロア イロア うくろ

Exercise

Let i.i.d. X_1, \ldots, X_N be our population. Then the population mean is the following

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$$

If $Var(X_1) = \sigma^2$, what is $Var[\bar{X}]$?

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Exercise

Let i.i.d. X_1, \ldots, X_N be our population. Then the population mean is the following

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$$

If $Var(X_1) = \sigma^2$, what is $Var[\bar{X}]$?

$$Var[\bar{X}] = \frac{\sigma^2}{N}$$

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Wait, when do we divide by sqrt(n)?

Let $X_1,\,X_2,\,\ldots$, X_n be a random sample of size n from a distribution (population) with mean μ and variance σ^2

Estimand	Estimator	Sampling Dist.
Population Mean μ	Sample Mean $ar{X}$	$\bar{X} \stackrel{\text{approx.}}{\sim} N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$
Population Variance σ^2	$S^2 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}$	$E[S^2] = \sigma^2; S^2 \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \sigma^2$
$SE[\bar{X}]$	$\widehat{SE}[\bar{X}] = \sqrt{\frac{S^2}{n}}$	

In this case, we adjust a population variance estimator S^2 by sqrt(n) to estimate the SE of "sample mean", which is also an estimator itself, for the population mean

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

▲ロト ▲昼 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ■ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Covariance

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

▲ロト ▲昼 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ■ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Covariance

Definition

$$Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]$$
$$= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Covariance

Definition

The **covariance** of X and Y is defined as:

$$Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]$$
$$= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

• Covariance measures the **linear association** between two random variables

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Covariance

Definition

$$Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]$$
$$= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

- Covariance measures the **linear association** between two random variables .
- If Cov[X, Y] > 0, observing an X value greater than E[X] makes it more likely to also observe a Y value greater than E[Y], and vice versa.

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Covariance

Definition

$$Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]$$
$$= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

- Covariance measures the **linear association** between two random variables .
- If Cov[X, Y] > 0, observing an X value greater than E[X] makes it more likely to also observe a Y value greater than E[Y], and vice versa.
- Does X ⊥ Y imply Cov[X, Y] = 0?

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Covariance

Definition

$$Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]$$
$$= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

- Covariance measures the **linear association** between two random variables .
- If Cov[X, Y] > 0, observing an X value greater than E[X] makes it more likely to also observe a Y value greater than E[Y], and vice versa.
- Does $X \perp Y$ imply Cov[X, Y] = 0?
- Does Cov[X, Y] = 0 imply $X \perp \!\!\!\perp Y$?

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Covariance

Definition

$$Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]$$
$$= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$$

- Covariance measures the **linear association** between two random variables .
- If Cov[X, Y] > 0, observing an X value greater than E[X] makes it more likely to also observe a Y value greater than E[Y], and vice versa.
- Does $X \perp Y$ imply Cov[X, Y] = 0?
- Does Cov[X, Y] = 0 imply $X \perp \!\!\!\perp Y$?
- $X \perp Y \implies Cov[X, Y] = 0$, but not vice versa.

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Important Identities for Variances and Covariances

◆□ > ◆母 > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = ∽ へ ⊙ > <

Important Identities for Variances and Covariances

1 For random variables X and Y and constants a, b and c,

$$V[aX + bY + c] = a^2 V[X] + b^2 V[Y] + 2ab Cov[X, Y]$$

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Important Identities for Variances and Covariances

(1) For random variables X and Y and constants a, b and c,

$$V[aX + bY + c] = a^2 V[X] + b^2 V[Y] + 2ab Cov[X, Y]$$

2 Important special cases:

$$V[X + Y] = V[X] + V[Y] + 2Cov[X, Y]$$
$$V[X - Y] = V[X] + V[Y] - 2Cov[X, Y]$$

Important Identities for Variances and Covariances

(1) For random variables X and Y and constants a, b and c,

$$V[aX + bY + c] = a^2 V[X] + b^2 V[Y] + 2ab Cov[X, Y]$$

2 Important special cases:

$$V[X + Y] = V[X] + V[Y] + 2Cov[X, Y]$$
$$V[X - Y] = V[X] + V[Y] - 2Cov[X, Y]$$

3 Furthermore, if X and Y are independent,

$$V[X \pm Y] = V[X] + V[Y]$$

Important Identities for Variances and Covariances

(1) For random variables X and Y and constants a, b and c,

$$V[aX + bY + c] = a^2 V[X] + b^2 V[Y] + 2ab Cov[X, Y]$$

2 Important special cases:

$$V[X + Y] = V[X] + V[Y] + 2Cov[X, Y]$$
$$V[X - Y] = V[X] + V[Y] - 2Cov[X, Y]$$

3 Furthermore, if X and Y are independent,

$$V[X \pm Y] = V[X] + V[Y]$$

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Exercise: Comparing Two Groups

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Exercise: Comparing Two Groups

We will often assume the following when comparing two groups,

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Exercise: Comparing Two Groups

We will often assume the following when comparing two groups,

- $X_{11}, X_{12}, ..., X_{1n_1} \sim_{i.i.d.} ?(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$
- $X_{21}, X_{22}, ..., X_{2n_2} \sim_{i.i.d.} ?(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$
- The two samples are independent of each other.

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Exercise: Comparing Two Groups

We will often assume the following when comparing two groups,

- $X_{11}, X_{12}, ..., X_{1n_1} \sim_{i.i.d.} ?(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$
- $X_{21}, X_{22}, ..., X_{2n_2} \sim_{i.i.d.} ?(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$
- The two samples are independent of each other.

We will usually be interested in comparing μ_1 to μ_2 , although we will sometimes need to compare σ_1^2 to σ_2^2 in order to make the first comparison.

Estimation

Birth Example

Sampling Distribution for $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$

What is the variance of $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$?

Estimation

Birth Example

< ロ > < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の < で</p>

Sampling Distribution for $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$

What is the variance of $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$?

 $Var[\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2]$

Sampling Distribution for $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$

What is the variance of $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$?

$$Var[\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2] = Var[\overline{X}_1] + Var[\overline{X}_2]$$
Estimation

Birth Example

Sampling Distribution for $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$

What is the variance of $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$?

$$\begin{aligned} &Var[\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2] &= Var[\overline{X}_1] + Var[\overline{X}_2] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_1^2} \sum Var[X_{1i}] + \frac{1}{n_2^2} \sum Var[X_{2j}] \end{aligned}$$

Sampling Distribution for $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$

What is the variance of $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$?

$$\begin{aligned} Var[\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2] &= Var[\overline{X}_1] + Var[\overline{X}_2] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_1^2} \sum Var[X_{1i}] + \frac{1}{n_2^2} \sum Var[X_{2j}] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_1^2} \sum \sigma_1^2 + \frac{1}{n_2^2} \sum \sigma_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Sampling Distribution for $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$

What is the variance of $\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$?

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}[\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2] &= \operatorname{Var}[\overline{X}_1] + \operatorname{Var}[\overline{X}_2] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_1^2} \sum \operatorname{Var}[X_{1i}] + \frac{1}{n_2^2} \sum \operatorname{Var}[X_{2j}] \\ &= \frac{1}{n_1^2} \sum \sigma_1^2 + \frac{1}{n_2^2} \sum \sigma_2^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2} \end{aligned}$$

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Correlation

E-Var-Cov

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<ロト < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Correlation

• Cov[X, Y] depends not only on the strength of (linear) association between X and Y, but also the scale of X and Y.

E-Var-Cov

Correlation

- Cov[X, Y] depends not only on the strength of (linear) association between X and Y, but also the scale of X and Y.
- Can we have a pure measure of association that is scale-independent?

E-Var-Cov

▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - のへで

Correlation

- Cov[X, Y] depends not only on the strength of (linear) association between X and Y, but also the scale of X and Y.
- Can we have a pure measure of association that is scale-independent?

Definition (Correlation)

The correlation between two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$Cor[X, Y] = \frac{Cov[X, Y]}{\sqrt{V[X]V[Y]}} = \frac{Cov[X, Y]}{SD[X]SD[Y]}.$$

E-Var-Cov

▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨ - のへで

Correlation

- Cov[X, Y] depends not only on the strength of (linear) association between X and Y, but also the scale of X and Y.
- Can we have a pure measure of association that is scale-independent?

Definition (Correlation)

The correlation between two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$Cor[X, Y] = \frac{Cov[X, Y]}{\sqrt{V[X]V[Y]}} = \frac{Cov[X, Y]}{SD[X]SD[Y]}.$$

• Cor[X, Y] is a standardized measure of linear association between X and Y.

E-Var-Cov

Correlation

- Cov[X, Y] depends not only on the strength of (linear) association between X and Y, but also the scale of X and Y.
- Can we have a pure measure of association that is scale-independent?

Definition (Correlation)

The correlation between two random variables X and Y is defined as

$$Cor[X, Y] = \frac{Cov[X, Y]}{\sqrt{V[X]V[Y]}} = \frac{Cov[X, Y]}{SD[X]SD[Y]}.$$

- Cor[X, Y] is a standardized measure of linear association between X and Y.
- Always satisfies: $-1 \leq Cor[X, Y] \leq 1$.

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Equations for simple OLS $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$

$$\widehat{eta}_{0} ~=~ ar{Y} - \widehat{eta}_{1}ar{X}$$

$$\widehat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \overline{X})(Y_{i} - \overline{Y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \overline{X})(Y_{i} - \overline{Y})}{n}}{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2}}{n}}$$

$$= \frac{E[(X - \overline{X})(Y - \overline{Y})]}{E[(X - \overline{X})^{2}]}$$

$$= \frac{Cov[X, Y]}{Var[X]}$$

・ロト ・ 理ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー ・ つへぐ

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

Intuition for the OLS in Matrix Form

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}} = ({\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{X}})^{-1}{\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{y}}$$

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

Intuition for the OLS in Matrix Form

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}} = ({\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{X}})^{-1}{\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{y}}$$

• What's the intuition here?

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Intuition for the OLS in Matrix Form

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}} = ({\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{X}})^{-1}{\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{y}}$$

- What's the intuition here?
- "Numerator" X'y: is approximately composed of the covariances between the columns of X and y

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

Intuition for the OLS in Matrix Form

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}} = ({\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{X}})^{-1}{\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{y}}$$

- What's the intuition here?
- "Numerator" X'y: is approximately composed of the covariances between the columns of X and y
- "Denominator" X'X is approximately composed of the sample variances and covariances of variables within X

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Intuition for the OLS in Matrix Form

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}} = ({\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{X}})^{-1}{\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{y}}$$

- What's the intuition here?
- "Numerator" X'y: is approximately composed of the covariances between the columns of X and y
- "Denominator" X'X is approximately composed of the sample variances and covariances of variables within X
- Thus, we have something like:

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}pprox$$
 (variance of X $^{oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}}$) $^{-1}$ (covariance of X $^{oldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}}$ y)

i.e. analogous to the simple linear regression case!

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Intuition for the OLS in Matrix Form

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}} = ({\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{X}})^{-1}{\mathsf{X}}'{\mathsf{y}}$$

- What's the intuition here?
- "Numerator" X'y: is approximately composed of the covariances between the columns of X and y
- "Denominator" X'X is approximately composed of the sample variances and covariances of variables within X
- Thus, we have something like:

$$\widehat{oldsymbol{eta}}pprox (\mathsf{variance} \,\,\mathsf{of}\,\, \mathsf{X})^{-1}(\mathsf{covariance}\,\,\mathsf{of}\,\,\mathsf{X}\,\,\&\,\,\mathsf{y})$$

i.e. analogous to the simple linear regression case! Disclaimer: the final equation is exactly true for all non-intercept coefficients if you remove the intercept from X such that $\hat{\beta}_{-0} = Var(X_{-0})^{-1}Cov(X_{-0}, y)$. The numerator and denominator are the variances and covariances if X and y are demeaned and normalized by the sample size minus 1.

4 日 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 - 9 4 で

IV in the big picture of causal inference

• Experimental ideal: unconfoundedness / "randomization"

- Experimental ideal: unconfoundedness / "randomization"
- Selection on observables:

- Experimental ideal: unconfoundedness / "randomization"
- Selection on observables:
 - Conditioning of observed covariates X, treatment assignment D is independent of the outcome

$$\underbrace{(Y_i(0) | D_i = 1, X_i)}_{\text{unobserved}} \stackrel{\text{dist.}}{=} \underbrace{(Y_i(0) | D_i = 0, X_i)}_{\text{observed}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

IV in the big picture of causal inference

- Experimental ideal: unconfoundedness / "randomization"
- Selection on observables:
 - Conditioning of observed covariates X, treatment assignment D is independent of the outcome

$$\underbrace{(Y_i(0) | D_i = 1, X_i)}_{\text{unobserved}} \stackrel{\text{dist.}}{=} \underbrace{(Y_i(0) | D_i = 0, X_i)}_{\text{observed}}$$

 Not testable without the counterfactual data, which is missing by definition!

イロア 人口 ア イロア イロア イロア うくろ

- Experimental ideal: unconfoundedness / "randomization"
- Selection on observables:
 - Conditioning of observed covariates X, treatment assignment D is independent of the outcome

$$\underbrace{(Y_i(0) | D_i = 1, X_i)}_{\text{unobserved}} \stackrel{\text{dist.}}{=} \underbrace{(Y_i(0) | D_i = 0, X_i)}_{\text{observed}}$$

- Not testable without the counterfactual data, which is missing by definition!
- With backdoor criterion, you must have the correct DAG.

- Experimental ideal: unconfoundedness / "randomization"
- Selection on observables:
 - Conditioning of observed covariates X, treatment assignment D is independent of the outcome

$$\underbrace{(Y_i(0) | D_i = 1, X_i)}_{\text{unobserved}} \stackrel{\text{dist.}}{=} \underbrace{(Y_i(0) | D_i = 0, X_i)}_{\text{observed}}$$

- Not testable without the counterfactual data, which is missing by definition!
- With backdoor criterion, you must have the correct DAG.
- Approaches to unobserved confounding

- Experimental ideal: unconfoundedness / "randomization"
- Selection on observables:
 - Conditioning of observed covariates X, treatment assignment D is independent of the outcome

$$\underbrace{(Y_i(0) | D_i = 1, X_i)}_{\text{unobserved}} \stackrel{\text{dist.}}{=} \underbrace{(Y_i(0) | D_i = 0, X_i)}_{\text{observed}}$$

- Not testable without the counterfactual data, which is missing by definition!
- With backdoor criterion, you must have the correct DAG.
- Approaches to unobserved confounding
 - natural experiments, IV, RD, etc.

- Experimental ideal: unconfoundedness / "randomization"
- Selection on observables:
 - Conditioning of observed covariates X, treatment assignment D is independent of the outcome

$$\underbrace{\left(Y_{i}(0)\middle|D_{i}=1,X_{i}\right)}_{\text{unobserved}} \stackrel{dist.}{=} \underbrace{\left(Y_{i}(0)\middle|D_{i}=0,X_{i}\right)}_{\text{observed}}$$

- Not testable without the counterfactual data, which is missing by definition!
- With backdoor criterion, you must have the correct DAG.
- Approaches to unobserved confounding
 - natural experiments, IV, RD, etc.
 - goal: find plausibly exogenous variation in treatment assignment

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Review of Key Assumptions

- Exogeneity of the instrument
- 2 Exclusion restriction
- 3 First-stage relationship / Relevance
- ④ Monotonicity

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Assumptions in Graphical Model



Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

Assumptions in Graphical Model



- 1) instrument/treatment and instrument/outcome don't share unmeasured common causes (exogeneity of the instrument)
- 2) no direct or indirect effect of the instrument on the outcome not through the treatment (exclusion restriction)

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Assumptions in Graphical Model



- 1) instrument/treatment and instrument/outcome don't share unmeasured common causes (exogeneity of the instrument)
- 2) no direct or indirect effect of the instrument on the outcome not through the treatment (exclusion restriction)
- 3) Z affects D (first stage relationship / relevance)

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Assumptions in Graphical Model



- 1) instrument/treatment and instrument/outcome don't share unmeasured common causes (exogeneity of the instrument)
- 2) no direct or indirect effect of the instrument on the outcome not through the treatment (exclusion restriction)
- 3) Z affects D (first stage relationship / relevance)
- to allow for heterogenous treatment effect, we assume the presence of the instrument never dissuades someone from taking the treatment (monotonicity)

 $D_i(1) - D_i(0) \geq 0$

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Which violation?



Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Which violation?



・ロト ・ 理ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー ・ つへぐ

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Which violation?



・ロト ・ 理ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー ・ つへぐ

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Which violation?



Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Which violation?



・ロト ・ 理ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー ・ つへぐ

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Remember the four subgroups of an experiment?

Name	$D_i(1)$	$D_i(0)$	
Always Takers	?	?	
Never Takers	?	?	
Compliers	?	?	
Defiers	?	?	

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Remember the four subgroups of an experiment?

Name	$D_i(1)$	$D_i(0)$
Always Takers	1	1
Never Takers	0	0
Compliers	1	0
Defiers	0	1

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで
Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

A visual example



<ロト < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Assumption 4: Monotonicity

- To allow for heterogenous effects we need to make a new assumption about the relationship between the instrument and the treatment.
- Monotonicity says that the presence of the instrument never dissuades someone from taking the treatment:

 $D_i(1) - D_i(0) \geq 0$

- We sometimes call assumption 4 no defiers because the monotonicity assumption rules out the existence of defiers.
- This is not a testable assumption because no observed group is solely populated by defiers.

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Monotonicity assumption



Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Sac

Monotonicity assumption



- If we assume there are no defiers, we can better identify the subgroups.
- Anyone with D_i = 1 when Z_i = 0 must be an always-taker and anyone with D_i = 0 when Z_i = 1 must be a never-taker.

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Local Average Treatment Effect (LATE)

- Under these four assumptions, we can use the Wald estimator to estimate the local average treatment effect (LATE) sometimes called the complier average treatment effect.
- This is the ATE among the compliers: those that take the treatment when encouraged to do so.
- That is, the LATE theorem (proof coming soon), states that:

$$\frac{E[Y_i|Z_i=1]-E[Y_i|Z_i=0]}{E[D_i|Z_i=1]-E[D_i|Z_i=0]}=E[Y_i(1)-Y_i(0)|D_i(1)>D_i(0)]$$

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Local Average Treatment Effect (LATE)

- Under these four assumptions, we can use the Wald estimator to estimate the local average treatment effect (LATE) sometimes called the complier average treatment effect.
- This is the ATE among the compliers: those that take the treatment when encouraged to do so.
- That is, the LATE theorem (proof coming soon), states that:

$$\frac{E[Y_i|Z_i=1] - E[Y_i|Z_i=0]}{E[D_i|Z_i=1] - E[D_i|Z_i=0]} = E[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)|D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$$

 But doesn't D_i(1) ≥ D_i(0) include always-takers and never-takers?

- $\tau_{LATE} = \frac{E[Y_i|Z_i=1] E[Y_i|Z_i=0]}{E[D_i|Z_i=1] E[D_i|Z_i=0]}$ as a pooled effect of three groups.
- Let's focus on the numerator first.

Name	D(1)-D(0)	Y(D(1))-Y(D(0))	Fract of Pop	Avg Effect
Compliers	1 - 0 = 1	Y(1)-Y(0)	$\pi_{compliers}$	$\delta_{compliers}$
Always Takers	1 - 1 = 0	Y(1)-Y(1)=0	π_{always}	0
Never Takers	0 - 0 = 0	Y(0)-Y(0)=0	π_{never}	0
Defiers	0 - 1 = -1	Y(0)-Y(1)	0	NA

- $\tau_{LATE} = \frac{E[Y_i|Z_i=1] E[Y_i|Z_i=0]}{E[D_i|Z_i=1] E[D_i|Z_i=0]}$ as a pooled effect of three groups.
- Let's focus on the numerator first.

Name	D(1)-D(0)	Y(D(1))-Y(D(0))	Fract of Pop	Avg Effect
Compliers	1 - 0 = 1	Y(1)-Y(0)	$\pi_{compliers}$	$\delta_{compliers}$
Always Takers	1 - 1 = 0	Y(1)-Y(1)=0	π_{always}	0
Never Takers	0 - 0 = 0	Y(0)-Y(0)=0	π_{never}	0
Defiers	0 - 1 = -1	Y(0)-Y(1)	0	NA

 $E[Y_i|Z_i = 1] - E[Y_i|Z_i = 0]$ = E[Y(D(1)) - Y(D(0))] $= \delta_{compliers} * \pi_{compliers} + 0 * \pi_{always} + 0 * \pi_{never}$

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

How do we know the fraction of compliers?



• Assuming no defiers, $\pi_{compliers} = 1 - \pi_{always} - \pi_{never}$

▲ロト ▲昼 ▶ ▲臣 ▶ ▲臣 ▶ □ 臣 = のへ⊙

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

How do we know the fraction of compliers?



- Assuming no defiers, $\pi_{compliers} = 1 \pi_{always} \pi_{never}$
- But, do we need to calculate the proportion of compliers?

Proof of the LATE theorem

• Under the exclusion restriction and randomization,

$$E[Y_i|Z_i = 1] = E[Y_i(0) + (Y_i(1) - Y_i(0))D_i|Z_i = 1]$$

= $E[Y_i(0) + (Y_i(1) - Y_i(0))D_i(1)]$ (randomization)

• The same applies to when $Z_i = 0$, so we have

$$E[Y_i|Z_i = 0] = E[Y_i(0) + (Y_i(1) - Y_i(0))D_i(0)]$$

• Thus, $E[Y_i|Z_i = 1] - E[Y_i|Z_i = 0] =$

$$E[(Y_i(1) - Y_i(0))(D_i(1) - D_i(0))]$$

= $E[(Y_i(1) - Y_i(0))(1)|D_i(1) > D_i(0)] \Pr[D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$
+ $E[(Y_i(1) - Y_i(0))(-1)|D_i(1) < D_i(0)] \Pr[D_i(1) < D_i(0)]$
= $E[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)|D_i(1) > D_i(0)] \Pr[D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$

• The third equality comes from monotonicity: with this assumption, $D_i(1) < D_i(0)$ never occurs.

Assumptions

Estimation

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Proof (continued)

 $E[Y_i|Z_i = 1] - E[Y_i|Z_i = 0] = E[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)|D_i(1) > D_i(0)] \Pr[D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$

• We can use the same argument for the denominator:

$$E[D_i|Z_i = 1] - E[D_i|Z_i = 0] = E[D_i(1) - D_i(0)]$$

= $E[D_i(1) - D_i(0)] \Pr[D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$
= $1 * \Pr[D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$
= $\Pr[D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$

Dividing these two expressions through gives the LATE.

Assumptions

Estimation

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Proof (continued)

 $E[Y_i|Z_i = 1] - E[Y_i|Z_i = 0] = E[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)|D_i(1) > D_i(0)] \Pr[D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$

• We can use the same argument for the denominator:

$$E[D_i|Z_i = 1] - E[D_i|Z_i = 0] = E[D_i(1) - D_i(0)]$$

= $E[D_i(1) - D_i(0)] \Pr[D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$
= $1 * \Pr[D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$
= $\Pr[D_i(1) > D_i(0)]$

- Dividing these two expressions through gives the LATE.
- The denominator tells us the fraction of compliers, but we don't need to calculate it to get LATE.

E-Var-Cov Why IV Assumptions Estimation

Birth Example

Monotonicity assumption



All of this allows us to interpret the LATE we identify using the instrumental variable as the average treatment effect among compliers.

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

IV assumptions

- 1) Exogeneity: $Y_i(d, z) \perp Z_i$ for all d, z.
- 2) Exclusion: $Y_i(d, z) = Y_i(d, z') = Y_i(d)$ for all z, z', d and i
- 3) Relevance: $Z \not\perp D$
 - all possibly conditional on X

Assumptions

Estimation

IV assumptions

- 1) Exogeneity: $Y_i(d, z) \perp Z_i$ for all d, z.
- 2) Exclusion: $Y_i(d, z) = Y_i(d, z') = Y_i(d)$ for all z, z', d and i
- 3) Relevance: $Z \not\perp D$
 - all possibly conditional on X
- 4) Monotonicity:

 $D_i(1) - D_i(0) \geq 0$

Birth Example

Assumed Models

- Second Stage: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
- First Stage: $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$
- IV assumptions: $Cov[u_1, Z] = 0, \ \pi_1 \neq 0, \ \text{and}$ $Cov[u_2, Z] = 0$



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

Sac

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

IV Estimation

With our assumed model being true,

• regressing *D* on *Z* identifies π_1



<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

IV Estimation

With our assumed model being true,

- regressing D on Z identifies π_1
- regressing Y on Z identifies $\pi_1 \cdot \alpha_1$



<ロト < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > のへの

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

IV Estimation

With our assumed model being true,

- regressing D on Z identifies π_1
- regressing Y on Z identifies $\pi_1 \cdot \alpha_1$

•
$$\frac{\pi_1 \cdot \alpha_1}{\widehat{\pi_1}}$$
 identifies $\frac{\pi_1 \cdot \alpha_1}{\pi_1} = \alpha_1$



<ロト < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < < つ < ○</p>

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

IV Estimation

OLS vs. IV estimators \bullet OLS to estimate α



<ロト < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

IV Estimation

OLS vs. IV estimators

- $\bullet~{\rm OLS}$ to estimate α
- IV estimators
 - Wald estimator
 - TSLS estimator (or 2SLS)



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Birth Example

Use OLS to estimate α_1

• True model: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$

◆□ > ◆母 > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = ∽ へ ⊙ > <

Use OLS to estimate α_1

True model: Y = α₀ + α₁D + u₂
 D is the treatment variable (e.g. training)

Use OLS to estimate α_1

• True model: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$

- *D* is the **treatment variable** (e.g. training)
- D may be endogenous so that $Cov[D, u_2] \neq 0$

- True model: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
 - *D* is the **treatment variable** (e.g. training)
 - D may be endogenous so that $Cov[D, u_2] \neq 0$
- Recall that the OLS estimator for α_1 is given by:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS} = \frac{\widehat{Cov}[Y,D]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} =$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

- D may be endogenous so that $Cov[D, u_2] \neq 0$
- Recall that the OLS estimator for α_1 is given by:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS} = \frac{\widehat{Cov}[Y,D]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} = \frac{\widehat{Cov}[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2, D]}{\widehat{Var}[D]}$$

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

- D may be endogenous so that $Cov[D, u_2] \neq 0$
- Recall that the OLS estimator for α_1 is given by:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS} = \frac{\widehat{Cov}[Y,D]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} = \frac{\widehat{Cov}[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2, D]}{\widehat{Var}[D]}$$
$$\hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS} = \frac{\alpha_1 \widehat{Var}[D] + \widehat{Cov}[D, u_2]}{\widehat{Var}[D]}$$

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

- D may be endogenous so that $Cov[D, u_2] \neq 0$
- Recall that the OLS estimator for α_1 is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS} &= \frac{\widehat{Cov}[Y,D]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} = \frac{\widehat{Cov}[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2, D]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} \\ \hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS} &= \frac{\alpha_1 \widehat{Var}[D] + \widehat{Cov}[D, u_2]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} \\ \hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS} &= \alpha_1 + \frac{\widehat{Cov}[D, u_2]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} \end{aligned}$$

Use OLS to estimate α_1

- D may be endogenous so that $Cov[D, u_2] \neq 0$
- Recall that the OLS estimator for α_1 is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS} &= \frac{\widehat{Cov}[Y,D]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} = \frac{\widehat{Cov}[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2, D]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} \\ \hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS} &= \frac{\alpha_1 \widehat{Var}[D] + \widehat{Cov}[D, u_2]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} \\ \hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS} &= \alpha_1 + \frac{\widehat{Cov}[D, u_2]}{\widehat{Var}[D]} \\ E[\hat{\alpha}_{1,OLS}] &= \alpha_1 + E[\frac{\widehat{Cov}[D, u_2]}{\widehat{Var}[D]}] \end{aligned}$$

so bias depends on correlation between u_2 and D

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Instrumental Variable Effect: Wald Estimator

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Instrumental Variable Effect: Wald Estimator

- Second Stage: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
- First Stage: $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$

・ロト ・ 理ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー ・ つへぐ

Instrumental Variable Effect: Wald Estimator

- Second Stage: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
- First Stage: $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$

IV Effect: D on Y using exogenous variation in D that is induced by Z. Recall

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0) + (\alpha_1 \pi_1)Z + (\alpha_1 u_1 + u_2)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

Instrumental Variable Effect: Wald Estimator

- Second Stage: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
- First Stage: $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$

IV Effect: D on Y using exogenous variation in D that is induced by Z. Recall

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0) + (\alpha_1 \pi_1)Z + (\alpha_1 u_1 + u_2)$$

$$Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + u_3$$

where $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0$, $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 \pi_1$, and $u_3 = \alpha_1 u_1 + u_2$. Given this, we can identify α_1 :

 $\alpha_1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1}$

イロア 人口 ア イヨア イヨア コー ろくぐ

Instrumental Variable Effect: Wald Estimator

- Second Stage: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
- First Stage: $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$

IV Effect: D on Y using exogenous variation in D that is induced by Z. Recall

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0) + (\alpha_1 \pi_1)Z + (\alpha_1 u_1 + u_2)$$

$$Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + u_3$$

where $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0$, $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 \pi_1$, and $u_3 = \alpha_1 u_1 + u_2$. Given this, we can identify α_1 :

 $\alpha_1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1} =$

Instrumental Variable Effect: Wald Estimator

- Second Stage: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
- First Stage: $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$

IV Effect: D on Y using exogenous variation in D that is induced by Z. Recall

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0) + (\alpha_1 \pi_1)Z + (\alpha_1 u_1 + u_2)$$

$$Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + u_3$$

where $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0$, $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 \pi_1$, and $u_3 = \alpha_1 u_1 + u_2$. Given this, we can identify α_1 :

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1} = \frac{\text{Effect of Z on Y}}{\text{Effect of Z on D}} = \frac{Cov[Y, Z]/Cov[Z, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]/Cov[Z, Z]} = \frac{Cov[Y, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >
- Second Stage: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
- First Stage: $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$

IV Effect: D on Y using exogenous variation in D that is induced by Z. Recall

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0) + (\alpha_1 \pi_1)Z + (\alpha_1 u_1 + u_2)$$

$$Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + u_3$$

where $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0$, $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 \pi_1$, and $u_3 = \alpha_1 u_1 + u_2$. Given this, we can identify α_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1} = \frac{\text{Effect of Z on Y}}{\text{Effect of Z on D}} = \frac{Cov[Y, Z]/Cov[Z, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]/Cov[Z, Z]} = \frac{Cov[Y, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]} \\ &= \frac{Cov[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]} \end{aligned}$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Second Stage: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
- First Stage: $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$

IV Effect: D on Y using exogenous variation in D that is induced by Z. Recall

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0) + (\alpha_1 \pi_1)Z + (\alpha_1 u_1 + u_2)$$

$$Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + u_3$$

where $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0$, $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 \pi_1$, and $u_3 = \alpha_1 u_1 + u_2$. Given this, we can identify α_1 :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha_1 & = & \displaystyle \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1} = \frac{\mathsf{Effect of } \mathsf{Z} \ \mathsf{on} \ \mathsf{Y}}{\mathsf{Effect of } \mathsf{Z} \ \mathsf{on} \ \mathsf{D}} = \frac{\mathit{Cov}[\mathsf{Y}, \mathsf{Z}]/\mathit{Cov}[\mathsf{Z}, \mathsf{Z}]}{\mathit{Cov}[\mathsf{D}, \mathsf{Z}]/\mathit{Cov}[\mathsf{Z}, \mathsf{Z}]} = \frac{\mathit{Cov}[\mathsf{Y}, \mathsf{Z}]}{\mathit{Cov}[\mathsf{D}, \mathsf{Z}]} \\ & = & \displaystyle \frac{\mathit{Cov}[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathsf{D} + u_2, \mathsf{Z}]}{\mathit{Cov}[\mathsf{D}, \mathsf{Z}]} = \frac{\alpha_1 \mathit{Cov}[\mathsf{D}, \mathsf{Z}] + \mathit{Cov}[u_2, \mathsf{Z}]}{\mathit{Cov}[\mathsf{D}, \mathsf{Z}]} = \end{array}$$

- Second Stage: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
- First Stage: $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$

IV Effect: D on Y using exogenous variation in D that is induced by Z. Recall

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0) + (\alpha_1 \pi_1)Z + (\alpha_1 u_1 + u_2)$$

$$Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + u_3$$

where $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0$, $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 \pi_1$, and $u_3 = \alpha_1 u_1 + u_2$. Given this, we can identify α_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1} = \frac{\text{Effect of } Z \text{ on } Y}{\text{Effect of } Z \text{ on } D} = \frac{\text{Cov}[Y, Z]/\text{Cov}[Z, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]/\text{Cov}[Z, Z]} = \frac{\text{Cov}[Y, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} \\ &= \frac{\text{Cov}[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} = \frac{\alpha_1 \text{Cov}[D, Z] + \text{Cov}[u_2, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} = \alpha_1 + \frac{\text{Cov}[u_2, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} \end{aligned}$$

• Second Stage:
$$Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$$

• First Stage:
$$D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$$

IV Effect: D on Y using exogenous variation in D that is induced by Z. Recall

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0) + (\alpha_1 \pi_1)Z + (\alpha_1 u_1 + u_2)$$

$$Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + u_3$$

where $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0$, $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 \pi_1$, and $u_3 = \alpha_1 u_1 + u_2$. Given this, we can identify α_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1} = \frac{\text{Effect of } Z \text{ on } Y}{\text{Effect of } Z \text{ on } D} = \frac{\text{Cov}[Y, Z]/\text{Cov}[Z, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]/\text{Cov}[Z, Z]} = \frac{\text{Cov}[Y, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} \\ &= \frac{\text{Cov}[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} = \frac{\alpha_1 \text{Cov}[D, Z] + \text{Cov}[u_2, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} = \alpha_1 + \frac{\text{Cov}[u_2, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} \end{aligned}$$

 $E[\hat{\alpha}_1] =$

- Second Stage: $Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$
- First Stage: $D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$

IV Effect: D on Y using exogenous variation in D that is induced by Z. Recall

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0) + (\alpha_1 \pi_1)Z + (\alpha_1 u_1 + u_2)$$

$$Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + u_3$$

where $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0$, $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 \pi_1$, and $u_3 = \alpha_1 u_1 + u_2$. Given this, we can identify α_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1} &= \frac{\gamma_{1}}{\pi_{1}} = \frac{\text{Effect of } Z \text{ on } Y}{\text{Effect of } Z \text{ on } D} = \frac{Cov[Y, Z]/Cov[Z, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]/Cov[Z, Z]} = \frac{Cov[Y, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]} \\ &= \frac{Cov[\alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}D + u_{2}, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]} = \frac{\alpha_{1}Cov[D, Z] + Cov[u_{2}, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]} = \alpha_{1} + \frac{Cov[u_{2}, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]} \\ E[\hat{\alpha}_{1}] &= \alpha_{1} + E\left[\frac{\widehat{Cov}[u_{2}, Z]}{\widehat{Cov}[D, Z]}\right] \end{aligned}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = ∽へ⊙

Instrumental Variable Effect: Wald Estimator

• Second Stage:
$$Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2$$

• First Stage:
$$D = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + u_1$$

IV Effect: D on Y using exogenous variation in D that is induced by Z. Recall

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0) + (\alpha_1 \pi_1)Z + (\alpha_1 u_1 + u_2)$$

$$Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + u_3$$

where $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \pi_0$, $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1 \pi_1$, and $u_3 = \alpha_1 u_1 + u_2$. Given this, we can identify α_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1} = \frac{\text{Effect of } Z \text{ on } Y}{\text{Effect of } Z \text{ on } D} = \frac{\text{Cov}[Y, Z]/\text{Cov}[Z, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]/\text{Cov}[Z, Z]} = \frac{\text{Cov}[Y, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} \\ &= \frac{\text{Cov}[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 D + u_2, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} = \frac{\alpha_1 \text{Cov}[D, Z] + \text{Cov}[u_2, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} = \alpha_1 + \frac{\text{Cov}[u_2, Z]}{\text{Cov}[D, Z]} \\ E[\hat{\alpha}_1] &= \alpha_1 + E\left[\frac{\widehat{\text{Cov}}[u_2, Z]}{\widehat{\text{Cov}}[D, Z]}\right] \end{aligned}$$

strength. $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ is consistent if $Cov[u_2, Z] = 0$ but has a **bias** which decreases with instrument

Instrumental Variable Effect: Two Stage Least Squares

The instrumental variable estimator:

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1} = \frac{Cov[Y, Z]}{Cov[D, Z]}$$

is numerically equivalent to the following two step procedure:

- (1) Fit first stage and obtain fitted values $\hat{D} = \hat{\pi}_0 + \hat{\pi}_1 Z$
- Plug into second stage:

$$Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \hat{D} + u_2$$

$$Y = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (\hat{\pi}_0 + \hat{\pi}_1 Z) + u_2$$

$$Y = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \hat{\pi}_0) + \alpha_1 (\hat{\pi}_1 Z) + u_2$$

 Intuition: Retain only variation in D that is induced by Z, "purged" of endogeneity

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト ヨー わえぐ

Quarter of Birth Example

Angrist, Joshua and Alan Krueger. 1991. "Does Compulsory School Attendance Affect Schooling and Earnings?" *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 106 (4).

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト ヨー わえぐ

Research Question

- Question: What is the causal effect of education on earnings?
- What are possible confounders? In what direction might those confounders bias our results?



Estimation

"The Natural Experiment"

"The experiment stems from the fact that children born in different months of the year start school at different ages, while compulsory schooling laws generally require students to remain in schools until their sixteenth or seventeenth birthday. Individuals born in the beginning of the year start school at an older age, and can therefore drop out after completing less schooling than individuals born near the end of the year. In effect, the interaction of school-entry requirements and compulsory schooling laws compel students born in certain months to attend school longer than students born in other months."

"The Natural Experiment"

"The experiment stems from the fact that children born in different months of the year start school at different ages, while compulsory schooling laws generally require students to remain in schools until their sixteenth or seventeenth birthday. Individuals born in the beginning of the year start school at an older age, and can therefore drop out after completing less schooling than individuals born near the end of the year. In effect, the interaction of school-entry requirements and compulsory schooling laws compel students born in certain months to attend school longer than students born in other months."

- Data: Men from the 1980 Census Public Use Sample
- Note: We apply the term "natural experiment" to indicate that the "treatment" (which is instrument here) is randomized but the randomization was not controlled by the researcher

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○ < ○

What are the key variables?

• What's the instrument (Z)?

Estimation

Birth Example

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

What are the key variables?

• What's the instrument (Z)? Quarter of birth

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

- What's the instrument (Z)? Quarter of birth
- What's the treatment (T)?

Estimation

Birth Example

- What's the instrument (Z)? Quarter of birth
- What's the treatment (T)? Receiving additional education

Estimation

Birth Example

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

- What's the instrument (Z)? Quarter of birth
- What's the treatment (T)? Receiving additional education
- What's the outcome (Y)?

Estimation

Birth Example

- What's the instrument (Z)? Quarter of birth
- What's the treatment (T)? Receiving additional education
- What's the outcome (Y)? Earnings

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

Let's evaluate the assumptions

Exogeneity of the Instrument

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Let's evaluate the assumptions

Exogeneity of the Instrument Is birth quarter random?

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ の < ⊙

Let's evaluate the assumptions

Exogeneity of the Instrument Is birth quarter random?

2 Exclusion Restriction

Estimation

Birth Example

Let's evaluate the assumptions

Exogeneity of the Instrument Is birth quarter random?

② Exclusion Restriction Can birth quarter affect earnings through causal channels other than education?

Let's evaluate the assumptions

Exogeneity of the Instrument Is birth quarter random?

② Exclusion Restriction Can birth quarter affect earnings through causal channels other than education?

③ First-stage relationship

Let's evaluate the assumptions

Exogeneity of the Instrument Is birth quarter random?

② Exclusion Restriction Can birth quarter affect earnings through causal channels other than education?

③ First-stage relationship Does birth quarter induce variation in time spent in school?

④ Monotonicity

Let's evaluate the assumptions

Exogeneity of the Instrument Is birth quarter random?

② Exclusion Restriction Can birth quarter affect earnings through causal channels other than education?

③ First-stage relationship Does birth quarter induce variation in time spent in school?

Monotonicity Are there defiers?

IV Assumption Check - First Stage Relationship

We can check by regressing treatment on the instrument. We can also gain more confidence by examining plots of the relationship:



Men born earlier in the year have less schooling

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

ヘロト ヘワト ヘヨト

Birth Example

500

Reduced Form



Differences in schooling due to quarter of birth appear to translate into different earnings.

<□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □ > ○へ⊙

2SLS Results: White Men, 1930s Cohorts

OLS AND TSLS ESTIMATES OF THE RETURN TO EDUCATION FOR MEN BORN 1930-1939: 1980 CENSUS"										
Independent variable	(1) OLS	(2) TSLS	(3) OLS	(4) TSLS	(5) OLS	(6) TSLS	(7) OLS	(8) TSLS		
Years of education	0.0673	0.0928	0.0673	0.0907	0.0628	0.0831	0.0628	0.0811		
	(0.0003)	(0.0093)	(0.0003)	(0.0107)	(0.0003)	(0.0095)	(0.0003)	(0.0109)		
Race $(1 = black)$			_	_	-0.2547	-0.2333	-0.2547	-0.2354		
					(0.0043)	(0.0109)	(0.0043)	(0.0122)		
SMSA(1 = center city)				_	0.1705	0.1511	0.1705	0.1531		
					(0.0029)	(0.0095)	(0.0029)	(0.0107)		
Married (1 = married)					0.2487	0.2435	0.2487	0.2441		
					(0.0032)	(0.0040)	(0.0032)	(0.0042)		
9 Year-of-birth dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
8 Region-of-residence dummies	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
50 State-of-birth dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Age			-0.0757	-0.0880		_	-0.0778	-0.0876		
			(0.0617)	(0.0624)			(0.0603)	(0.0609)		
Age-squared			0.0008	0.0009		_	0.0008	0.0009		
			(0.0007)	(0.0007)			(0.0007)	(0.0007)		
χ^2 [dof]		163 [179]		161 [177]		164 [179]	_	162 [177]		

TABLE VII

a. Standard errors are in parentheses. Excluded instruments are 30 quarter-of-birth times year-of-birth dummies and 150 quarter-of-birth times state-of-birth interactions. Age and age-squared are measured in quarters of years. Each equation also includes an intercept term. The sample is the same as in Table VI. Sample size is 329,509.

イロア 人口 ア イロア イロア イロア うくろ

2SLS Results: Black Men, 1930s Cohorts

OLS AND TSLS ESTIMATES OF THE RETURN TO EDUCATION FOR BLACK MEN BORN 1930-1939: 1980 CENSUS ⁴											
Independent variable	(1) OLS	(2) TSLS	(3) OLS	(4) TSLS	(5) OLS	(6) TSLS	(7) OLS	(8) TSLS			
Years of education	0.0672 (0.0013)	0.0635 (0.0185)	0.0671 (0.0003)	0.0555	0.0576	0.0461	0.0576	0.0391			
SMSA(1 = center city)	-	_	_	_	0.1885	0.2053	0.1884	0.2155			
Married (1 = married)	_	_	_	_	(0.0142) 0.2216 (0.0192)	(0.0308) 0.2272 (0.0126)	(0.0142) 0.2216	(0.0324) 0.2307			
9 Year-of-birth dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	(0.0153) Yes	(0.0136) Yes	(0.0100) Vec	(0.0140) Voc			
8 Region-of-residence dummies	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ves			
49 State-of-birth dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Age			-0.0309	-0.3274		_	-0.2978	-0.3237			
			(0.2538)	(0.2560)			(0.0032)	(0.2497)			
Age-squared		_	0.0033	0.0035			0.0032	0.0035			
314-01			(0.0028)	(0.0028)			(0.0027)	(0.0028)			
χ ^e [dot]		184 [176]		181 [173]	_	178 [176]	_	175 [173]			

TABLE VIII

a. Standard errors are in parentheses. Excluded instruments are 30 quarter-of-birth times year-of-birth dumnies and 147 quarter-of-birth times state-of-birth interactions. (There are no black meet in the sample born in Hawaii.) Age and age-squared are measured in quarters of years. Each equation also includes an intercept term. The sample is drawn from the 1980 foreus. Sample are is 265913.

Note the returns for black men appear to be smaller

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

Study Results

IV. CONCLUSION

Differences in season of birth create a natural experiment that we use to study the effect of compulsory school attendance on schooling and earnings. Because individuals born in the beginning of the year usually start school at an older age than that of their classmates, they are allowed to drop out of school after attaining less education. Our exploration of the relationship between quarter of birth and educational attainment suggests that season of birth has a small effect on the level of education men ultimately attain. To support the contention that this is a consequence of compulsory schooling laws, we have assembled evidence showing that some students leave school as soon as they attain the legal dropout age, and that season of birth has no effect on postsecondary years of schooling.

Why IV

Assumptions

Estimation

Birth Example

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Questions?